

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,214	01/14/2002	Richard Knight	3004-1001-1	6187	
466 7	590 03/11/2003				
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23 ARLINGTON,	3RD STREET 2ND FLOO! , VA 22202	R	HUSAR, STEPHEN F		
			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2875		
DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003			•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	·····			<u>I</u>			
	Application	n N	Applicant(s)	/			
Office Autieu Communica	10/043,214	1	KNIGHT, RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Stephen F.		2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. , a reply within the statut period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	ort, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONEC	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n <u>14 January 200</u>	<u>2</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is r	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a				is			
closed in accordance with the practice un <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	nder <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 U.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from con	sideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election re	quirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)	bjected to by the Exar	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) I	pe held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _			ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required		ce action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		• •					
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internations</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the certified copies.</li></ul>	al Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional applicat	tion).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	•						
Attachment(s)		_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.</li> </ol>	8)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				
S, Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 2875

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BAILEY ET AL. (5752766). BAILEY ET AL. shows in Fig.4 a white LED cluster consisting of RGB LEDs, see also column 4, lines 8-16. IN Fig.2 of BAILEY ET AL. there is shown LEDs 22 on a planar surface, which is movable to a nonplanar configuration as shown in dotted lines 20 by an electromotor 28 for changing the angle and shape of the LEDs 22 and the light beam emitted by them.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,214

Art Unit: 2875

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BAILEY ET AL. (5752766) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of BEDNARZ (4264845). BAILEY ET AL shows the invention substantially as claimed except it is unclear as to whether the LEDs are arranged in a spiral manner. BEDNARZ shows that it well known in the art to arrange LEDs such as those of BAILEY ET AL in a spiral design as shown in Fig.6 for the purpose of producing a pleasing decorative effect. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the LEDs of BAILEY ET AL in the spiral fashion taught by BEDNARZ to produce a light display with a pleasing decorative effect.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BAILEY ET AL (5752766) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of YAMURO (5155669). BAILEY ET AL shows the invention substantially as claimed except it is unclear as to whether the LEDs are arranged in a spiral manner. YAMURO discloses in column 3, lines 20-40 that it is known in the art to arrange RGB LEDs such as BAILEY ET AL. in a circle or spiral fashion for the purpose of providing a round source of light instead of a linear source of light. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the LEDs of BAILEY ET AL in the spiral fashion taught by YAMURO since BAILEY ET ALS LEDS are already arranged to provide a round source of light.

Art Unit: 2875

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Husar whose telephone number is 703-308-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Stephen F. Husar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875

SFH March 5, 2003